UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,983	06/22/2005	Janne Samuli Naamanka	5663	6232
	7590 12/10/200 AND MATTARE, LT		EXAMINER	
	CE ROAD - SUITÉ 11		THROWER, LARRY W	
SILVER SPRING, MD 20910			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/536,983	NAAMANKA, JANNE SAMULI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LARRY THROWER	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	, -				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
dissed in assertance with the prestice and a	n parte quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	0 0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/2006; 9/29/2005. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/536,983 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1a should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. **Claim 1** recites the limitation "the formwork". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,983 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. **Claim 1** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roudebush et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,112,173).
- Roudebush et al. discloses a method for creating a nonporous, fair face surface when casting concrete (abstract; col. 1, lines 6-65; col. 4, lines 32-48)). The method includes placing a casting membrane (10) between the concrete being cast and a formwork (figs. 1-2; abstract; col. 2, lines 40-50), wherein the casting membrane (10) is formed of a plastic coating (col. 2, lines 45-49), which is treated using an electrical discharge treatment at least on the surface against the concrete being cast (col. 3, lines 25-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,983 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

8. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roudebush et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,112,173), as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Koyo (Derwent Abstract Acc-No: 1981-33496D of JP 56028971 [March 1981]).

- Regarding claim 2, Roudebush et al. fails to disclose the electrical discharge treatment being a corona treatment. However, Koyo discloses a method for creating casting surfaces for concrete utilizing a casting membrane which is formed of a plastic coating which is treated using corona discharge treatment (abstract). As taught by Koyo, pretreating a plastic surface by corona discharge treatment allows the casting membrane to be "...easily peeled off hardened cement concrete and repeatedly reused..." (abstract). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected corona discharge as the electrical discharge treatment in the method of Roudebush et al. to create a casting membrane which peels from hardened concrete and is reusable, as taught by Koyo.
- Regarding **claim 3**, Roudebush et al. discloses the casting membrane used on the surface of the formwork (col. 4, lines 48-54), and the membrane includes a cellulose-based layer (col. 2, lines 40-45; col. 2, line 67 col. 3, line 11), on both sides of which is a plastic coating (col. 2, lines 40-49), at least on the surface lying against the concrete (col. 1, lines 55-62). Koyo discloses the plastic coating being corona treated, as discussed above (abstract).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LARRY THROWER whose telephone number is 571-270-5517. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30AM-6PM est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Larry Thrower/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/Christina Johnson/

Application/Control Number: 10/536,983

Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791